

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,284	06/17/2002	Jacqueline Marchand	DCLERC I	9585
	590 11/05/2002			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			MURPHY, JENNIFER C	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		10/049,284	MARCHAND ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL ING DATE of this communication and	Jennifer C. Murphy	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of till apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		the Francisco				
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
·	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
		p,	. 3				
<i>/</i> -	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	•		00				
1) 🔲 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/049,284

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-30 are pending in this application.

Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Upon thorough consideration of the claims, the examiner has determined that a lack of unity of invention exists, as defined in Rule 13 and contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. and PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention). PCT Rule 13.2 state that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. Furthermore:

Annex B, Part 1(a), indicates that the application should relate to only one invention, or if there is more than one invention, inclusion is permitted if they are so linked to form a single general inventive concept.

Annex B, Part 1(b), indicates that "special technical features" means those technical features, which as a whole define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1(c), further defines independent and dependent claims. Unity of invention only is concerned in relation to independent claims. Dependent claims are defined as a claim, which contains all the features of another claim and is in the same category as the other claim. The category of a claim refers to the classification of claims according to subject matter, e.g. product, process, use, apparatus, means, etc.

Annex B, Part 1(e), indicates the permissible combinations of different categories of claims. Part 1(e(i)) states that inclusion of an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product is permissible.

Annex B, Part 1(f) indicates the "Markush practice" of alternatives in a single claim. Part 1(f(i)) indicates the technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical feature is considered to be met when: (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity, and (B) a common structure is present or all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds. Further defining (B) in Annex B, Part 1(f)(i-iii), the common structure must; a) occupy a large

Application/Control Number: 10/049,284

Art Unit: 1626

portion of their structure, or b) the common structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion, or c) where the structures are equivalent and therefore a recognized class of chemical compounds, each member could be substituted for one another with the same intended result. That is, with a common or equivalent structure, there is an expectation from knowledge in the art that all members will behave in the same way. Thus, the technical relationship and the corresponding special technical feature result from a common (or equivalent) structure, which is responsible for the common activity (or property). Part 1(f(iv)) indicates that when all alternatives of a Markush grouping can be differently classified, it shall not, taken alone, be considered justification for finding a lack of unity. Part 1(f(v)) indicates that when dealing with alternatives, it can be shown that at least one Markush alternative is not novel over the prior art, the question of unity of invention shall be reconsidered, but does not imply that an objection shall be raised.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. The groups are as follows:

Group 1. Claims 1, 2, 4 & 9, drawn to compounds.

Group 2. Claims 3 & 5-8, drawn to methods of making.

Group 3. Claims 10-13 & 25, drawn to compounds.

Group 4. Claims 14-17, drawn to compounds.

Group 5. Claims 18-20, drawn to compounds.

Group 6. Claim 21, drawn to methods of using.

Group 7. Claim 22-23, drawn to compounds.

Group 8. Claim 24, drawn to methods of using.

Group 9. Claims 26-30, drawn to methods of detection.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 since the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. The compounds, methods of making said compounds and methods of using said compounds claimed contain substituted nitroimidazole derivatives, which does not define a contribution over the prior art (see, for example, Kachur AV, et al. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* abstract only). Furthermore, the substituents on the nitroimidazole can vary and when taken as a whole, result in vastly

Application/Control Number: 10/049,284

Art Unit: 1626

different compounds. Each of the groups set forth above represents either a separate process or discrete heterocyclic or nonheterocyclic ring system which one skilled in the art would know, which beside sharing no significant structural element, cannot be said to belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds. Accordingly, the unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Harry Shubin on 10/23/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer C. Murphy, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308-4537. The Unofficial fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7921. The Official fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Joseph.McKane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet

Art Unit: 1626

Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Jennifer C. Murphy October 24, 2002 Joseph K. McKane Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626 Technology Center 1600

Joseph & McKure